

United States Patent and Trademark Office

8

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,129	01/20/2004	Hiromu Ando	Q79438	6649
23373 7590 11/16/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LAMB, BRENDA A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/759,129	ANDO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Brenda A. Lamb	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 At 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number:

10/759,129 Art Unit: 1792

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al 5,665,163.

Li et al teaches the design of a coating apparatus comprised of the following structural elements as shown in Figures 1-2: static bar assembly or first bar assembly extending across the width of the web and an adjustable bar assembly or second bar assembly extending across the width of the web and downstream of the first bar assembly wherein some walls of the second bar assembly are parallel to some of the walls of the first bar assembly, a between-bars liquid reservoir which includes extraction channel extending between the first bar assembly and the second bar assembly. Li et al teaches at column 3 lines 3-23 adjustable bar assembly or second bar assembly

10/759,129 Art Unit: 1792

controls the coat weight applied to the web by adjusting the width of the coating gap. Li et al teaches a backup member or housing 23 supporting the first and second adjustable bar assembly from below. Li et al shows that the coating apparatus is comprised of an air-liquid interface forming portion at the bottom end portion of the between-bars liquid reservoir wherein the air-liquid interface forming portion including a coating sucking out portion or withdrawing portion for sucking out the coating liquid stored in the between-bars liquid reservoir (see column 3 line 15-18). Li et al fails to teach the ratio of the coating amount deposited on the first bar assembly relative to the second bar assembly. However, it would have been obvious that the Li et al apparatus is structured and arranged to provide the ratio of the coating amount deposited on the first bar assembly relative to the second bar assembly as set forth in claim 1 since Li et al teaches adjusting to the position of the adjustable bar assembly or second bar assembly to provide the desired coating weight. Thus claim 1 is obvious over Li et al. With respect to claim 7, Li et al apparatus is capable of coating a substrate within the scope of the claim and is applying a coating within the scope of the claim since it teaches every element of the claimed apparatus. Note it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Application/Control Number:

10/759,129 Art Unit: 1792

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al 5,665,163 in view of Wallsten 4,102,299.

Li et al is applied for the reason noted above. Li et al teaches a primary liquid supply flow path for supplying the coating upstream of the first bar assembly. Li et al fails to teach the coating liquid sucking out portion includes a flow path that allows communication between the between-bars liquid reservoir and the primary liquid supply flow path. However, it would have been obvious to modify the Li et al apparatus by connecting the sucking out portion with the primary liquid supply flow path since Wallsten teaches in a coating apparatus providing a channel for recirculating excess coating from the outlet to the inlet of the coating apparatus for the obvious cost advantages of doing so.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda A. Lamb whose telephone number is (571) 272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday with alternate Wednesdays and Fridays off.

10/759,129 Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton, can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda A Lamb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792